

# SUGGESTION FOR SUMMONS IN GARNISHMENT

Return date and time

5/18/12 10:00 A.M.

IN RE: CASE NO. 07-1097

CASE NO. 07-1097

MAR -9 2012

U.S. DISTRICT COURT, EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA, Alexandria DIVISION

JUDGMENT CREDITOR: name, address

L. Brent Bozell, III  
4749 Neptune Ave, Alexandria, VA 22304

JUDGMENT DEBTOR: name, address

PAUL ERICKSON  
3130 W 57th St. #107  
STOUX FALLS, SOUTH DAKOTA 57108

JUDGMENT CREDITOR'S ATTORNEY: name, address

CHRISTOPHER T. CRAIG  
3554 CHAIN BRIDGE RD # 402  
FAIRFAX, VA 22030  
Telephone No. 703-865-7480

Suggested Garnishee: name, address

AMERICAN CONSERVATIVE UNION Foundation  
c/o CORPORATION SERVICE CO. R/A  
16th Floor 1111 East Main St.  
RICHMOND, VA 23219  
STATEMENT

Social Security No.

If garnishee is defendant's employer, please furnish employer's name, and state whether it is a corporation, or one or more persons trading under a fictitious or trade names.

ORIGINAL JUDGMENT

DATE OF JUDGMENT FEBRUARY 22, 2008

\$ 190,000.00 Judgment Principal  
0 Credits  
0 Interest  
0 Judgment Costs  
0 Attorney's Fees  
0 Garnishment Costs

\$ 190,000.00 Total Balance Due  
The garnishee shall rely on this amount

MAXIMUM PORTION OF DISPOSABLE EARNINGS SUBJECT TO GARNISHMENT

☐ Support (if not specified, then 50%)  
☐ 50% ☐ 55% ☐ 60% ☐ 65%  
☐ state taxes, 100%

If none of the above are checked, then §34-29(a) applies

I request the Clerk to summon the Suggested Garnishee to answer this suggestion. I have reason to believe that there is a liability on the suggested because of the execution of the "ORIGINAL JUDGMENT" described above, which:

- ☒ involves a business, trade or professional credit transaction entered into on or after January 1, 1984,
- ☐ does not involve a business, trade or professional credit transaction entered into on or after January 1, 1984, and the undersigned represents that he has made a diligent good faith effort to secure the social security number of the judgment debtor
- ☒ and had been unable to do so.

I further certify that:

- ☐ (1) The summons is based upon a judgment upon which a prior summons has been issued but not fully satisfied, or
- ☒ (2) No summons has been issued upon this judgment creditor's suggestion against the same judgment debtor within a period of eighteen months, other than a summons which was based upon a judgment upon which a prior summons has been issued but not fully satisfied; or
- ☐ (3) The summons is based upon a judgment granted against a debtor upon a debt due or made for necessary food, rent, or shelter, public utilities including telephone service, drugs, or medical care supplied the debtor by the judgment creditor or to one of his lawful dependents, and that it was not for luxuries or nonessentials; or
- ☐ (4) The summons is based upon a judgment for a debt due the judgment creditor to refinance a lawful loan made by an authorized lending institution; or
- ☐ (5) The summons is based upon a judgment on an obligation incurred as an endorser or comaker upon a lawful note, or
- ☐ (6) The summons is based upon a judgment for a debt or debts reaffirmed after bankruptcy.

I hereby certify that the last known address of the defendant is as shown above.

WARNING:

Any judgment creditor who knowingly gives false information in a suggestion for Summons in Garnishment shall be guilty of a Class 1 misdemeanor

3-7-12  
DATE SUBMITTED

JUDGMENT CREDITOR ☒ AGENT ☒ ATTORNEY